

**MINUTES OF MEETING
GATEWAY SERVICES DISTRICT**

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Gateway Services District was held Monday, March 9, 1998 at 4:00 P.M. in the District Office, 13240 Commerce Lakes Drive, Fort Myers, Florida.

Present and constituting a quorum were:

Douglas Brown	Chairman
Craig Bloxham	Secretary
R. Lee Menzies	Supervisor
Steven Shimp	Supervisor

Also present were:

James P. Ward	Assistant Manager
Tony Pires	Attorney
Gene Decker	Field Superintendent
Alice Carlson	District Staff
Dave Caldwell	WCI Communities, L.P.
Katherine Green	WCI Communities, L.P.

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Roll Call

Mr. Ward called the meeting to order at 4:00 P.M. and stated that all Board members are present at roll call with the exception of Mr. Shimp and Ms. Martin.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Approval of the Minutes of the February 9, 1998 Meeting

Mr. Ward stated that each Board member had received a copy of the minutes of the February 9, 1998 meeting and requested any additions, corrections or deletions.

There not being any,

On MOTION by Mr. Menzies seconded by Mr. Bloxham with all in favor the minutes of the February 9, 1998 meeting were approved.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

Award of Contract for Tree & Palm Trimming

Mr. Decker stated it is time for our annual tree and palm trimming. We did have a pre-bid meeting and had four prospective bidders. However, we only received one bid in the amount of \$30,305. I feel that this amount, seeing the work to be done, is expensive. Perhaps the reason that we only had one bidder has to do

March 9, 1998

with a couple of things we had in our bid contract. One of them was that we were requiring that we chip all the debris. Not all tree trimmers have chippers which means that they will have to go out and rent one and I am certain they are expensive. We also had in the bid that we did not want to use a personal lift such as a JLG because we were concerned that some of the landscaping under the trees might be damaged. I feel that maybe this was one of the reasons why we only had one bidder and why that bid is as much as it is. We have re-written the contract and have taken out the chipping and the lift. As far as the debris is concerned, that can be disposed of here on-site. I would recommend to the Board that we re-bid the contract as that amount exceeds what we should be paying.

Mr. Ward stated if that is your desire, a motion to reject all bids and to re-advertise would be in order.

On MOTION by Mr. Bloxham seconded by Mr. Menzies with all in favor the bids from the tree & palm trimming contract, per Mr. Decker, were rejected and staff was authorized to re-advertise.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Consideration of Acceptance of Conveyance of Cypress Links Phase 2 and Callaway Greens Phase 3

Mr. Decker stated WCI Communities, L.P. has asked us to accept the conveyance of Cypress Links, Phase 2 and Callaway Greens, Phase 3. Staff has inspected those facilities. A punchlist was prepared and was cleared. We have the Bill of Sale fully executed, Affidavit of No Liens, infrastructure cost, Lee County's Certificate of Compliance letter and HRS approval letter. Along with that, our Attorney Mr. Pires has looked at the acceptance package and it is in order. I would recommend that we that we accept these facilities.

On MOTION by Mr. Menzies seconded by Mr. Bloxham with all in favor the conveyance of Cypress Links, Phase 2 and Callaway Greens, Phase 3 was approved.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Consideration of Grant of Easement to FP&L for the Community Park

Mr. Decker stated during the construction of the Gateway Community Park, in order to get power over to the facilities, an overhead line was constructed across our berm into the road right-of-way and from there it goes underground to the transformer adjacent to the construction building. FP&L requires an easement for that line and this is what they have asked for. Staff has looked at the

March 9, 1998

easement as well as the engineer. It is in order and I recommend that we grant the easement.

Mr. Pires stated in the easement it talks about also utilizing it for communication purposes and distribution. I think it is limited solely to power lines. They do talk about the ability to operate the same for communication purposes and this is not something Mr. Decker mentioned before.

Mr. Ward stated the record with reflect that Mr. Shimp has joined us.

Mr. Decker responded FP&L has asked solely for an easement for their electrical underground facilities and I do not know why they would want an easement for communications.

Mr. Menzies stated would you prefer we not take action on that until you have the information you need.

Mr. Decker stated I was thinking perhaps if you would authorize Mr. Pires and myself to work on this and get it in order as it should be and maybe go ahead and correct the easement. Would that be in order Mr. Ward?

Mr. Bloxham asked are all the services in place?

Mr. Decker responded yes, all the services are in place.

Mr. Bloxham stated the worst that can happen is that they turn off our electric if we do not grant the easement.

Mr. Decker responded that would be the worst.

Mr. Bloxham stated I am sure they are not going to do that overnight. I think that is appropriate. Do you need a motion?

Mr. Ward responded we need a motion to accept the easement subject to Counsel's review and approval.

On MOTION by Mr. Bloxham seconded by Mr. Menzies with all in favor the grant of easement to FP&L for the Community Park was accepted subject to Counsel's review and approval.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Status Reports

A. Attorney

Mr. Pires stated during the interim I spoke with Mr. Ernst from the developer, WCI Communities, L.P.. He suggested the note to Lee County for the amendment to PUD. Mr. Ernst will be providing me with that full packet. I will provide the affidavits for that and continuing processing that as it is to Lee County. I have nothing else to report.

March 9, 1998

B. Engineer

There not being any, the next item followed.

C. Field Manager

Mr. Decker stated our present operator at the Wastewater Treatment Plant is Severn Trent Environmental Services. They have submitted their resignation for operating this plant and I will read you the letter for the record. "Dear Gene, I am responding to our conversation on February 13, 1998 regarding your concerns related to personnel at the Gateway Wastewater Treatment Plant. In reviewing our situation, I am unable to assure you that only two people will consistently work at the facility. We have tried to hire a licensed operator to fill Rob's position and so far have not been able to hire a suitable person. Severn Trent Environmental Services is committed to providing the highest level of service and fulfilling the service needs of our clients and customers. I am concerned that at this time we cannot fulfill your request of no more than two people operating the Gateway facility. With deep regret Severn Trent Environmental Services submits 60 day written notice of resignation. Severn Trent has enjoyed the opportunity of working with Gateway Services District. We will work with you in whatever way possible to assure a smooth transition. If 60 days is unrealistic, we will work with you until this transition can be made. Regards. Signed R.J. Gillam, Regional Vice President."

There was an operator that worked with Severn Trent and he operated our Waste Facility down here. He was the permanent person. Rob left the company and went to another company. This left a hole with Severn Trent because they did not have an operator that they could assign to this plant. They did in fact advertise for another operator, but they were unsuccessful. The problem is that I ended up with four operators at this plant. There is no way that you can continue to have continuity operation wise with that many operators at such a small plant. This went on for several months. I met with Mr. Gillam and expressed my concerns with on it. I happened to send one of our staff members down there to insure that we were keeping that continuity. Even I was out here a couple times on the weekend checking the situation. It just does not work. We have to have an operator assigned to that facility. You cannot have a different operator operating that plant, or any plant, everyday because one operator does not know what the other one is doing. We certainly do not want to jeopardize our plant, we do not want to have to answer to the DEP and we do not want to have to pay any kind of

March 9, 1998

fines because we were remiss. They felt that they could not fulfill our obligation, and has submitted their resignation. I received this last week.

I have already re-advertised for an operations of plant. At the present time, I do have two prospective bidders and may have more. One of those is Avatar and Culligan Service, which is now US Filters, and there may be more. We have a mandatory pre-bid meeting on the 16th of this month. I have re-written the contract and will sit down with those people and explain to them the contracts and our protocol. Our protocol is what is approved by the FDEP and that is what we go by. We do not circumvent that and will not circumvent that. We will do exactly what that protocol says. That is our obligation. I believe the bid opening is on the 31st. I will have those results for you by the April 13th meeting. We do have 60 days and according to the contract, either party could have cancelled the contract with six days notice. We will see what we get. I just wanted the Board to be aware of it. We did have a problem and we are going to resolve it.

Mr. Menzies asked looking at the claims report for this month I see there is an emergency repair of a 12 inch main and a clean-up to the sum of \$11,000 for this company, was that part of this problem?

Mr. Decker responded no. That is not part of the contract. We did have an emergency repair. We have a 12 inch irrigation line that sprung a leaked and poured out to the road and part of a driveway.

Mr. Menzies stated so these two things are not related.

Mr. Decker stated these are not related.

Mr. Shimp asked Mr. Decker, do they keep logs? I understand the people problem. How extensive is the log they have to keep as to what he does and when he is operating one of those plants?

Mr. Decker responded you certainly cannot put everything in writing. A log is kept and it is required by the DEP to keep a log. It really is up to the operator what he puts in that log. He definitely has to sign in when he comes on duty and he absolutely has to sign out when he goes off duty.

Mr. Shimp asked does he have to log in any chemicals?

Mr. Decker responded he should log in his daily efforts. He takes certain tests he has to make a record of. Any maintenance items that he might have to do he should put in the log. I am glad you brought that up because there is another situation we have here to as to why we have to have an operator down there. It is required by our permit to have an operator on duty six hours a day, seven days a week. I require that the operator be on-site from the hours of nine to three. The

March 9, 1998

reason for that is that we have an agreement with Gulf Disposal and they bring their port-o-lets here to dispose of in our plant. An operator needs to be down there when that happens. I will give you a good example. Not too long ago the operator left to take samples to the laboratory. While he was gone, there were some port-o-lets that was brought in. He came back, and luckily he went on top of the plant to check something and he smelled something. Then he called me. We went down there and it smelled like diesel fuel. We looked in the three jet station and opened up the lift station and it was there. Gallons of it. You could not tell whether or not it was diesel fuel, but that is what it smelled like. It was some kind of oil or fuel. We immediately shut down that lift station so no more would get into the plant. We called Gulf Disposal and made them pump out that lift station. Now if that operator had not been there it could have done us great harm.

Mr. Shimp stated I just raised the log issue because skilled people are getting harder and harder to find. If you are talking about someone with a skill who carries a license, this shortage will not be abnormal. Perhaps we should to put some pretty heavy logging procedures on our next Contractor so if we do get into a situation where apparently two people cannot meet the standard anymore, at least we will have a pretty heavy logging process.

Mr. Decker stated I agree with you and I have re-written the contract and made it more stringent in what they will do.

Mr. Shimp stated Severn Trent probably has more going on too. There business is expanding rapidly.

Mr. Decker stated I wanted the Board to know what we were doing.

D. Manager

Mr. Ward stated the only thing I had for you is on our transportation fee bond issue, you will recall that we provided to a company called Kinsey Associates back in the middle of 1996, the ability to sell the transportation impact fee credits we have available through Lee County at a discounted rate of 81% of the face value of the credit. That expired in January of 1997. They have not really been sold too much either by Kinsey or the other firm that is doing it, which is James Hayes & Associates for 1997. The developer of Lehigh Corporation had a lot of impact fee credits and they were discounting those impact fee credits more than the discount that we were actually providing to our brokers. They have basically used all their credits so now we are starting to get back into that market again in 1998. Mr. Kinsey has asked us if we would be willing to again sell our impact fee credits at exactly the same discount values as we did previously which was 81% of the face

March 9, 1998

amount of the credit itself. He does have, in the particular instance, a specific buyer in mind for roughly \$95,000 worth of those credits. We had previously given authorization of \$300,000 and would like to give him an authorization of a similar amount for the calendar year 1998. If it is the Boards desire, he can utilize this and then if there are any more credits that come about as a result of this during this calender year he would have some ability to do that. The previous authorization you have for Hayes is still in effect. That was a large authorization at that point in time. With the Boards approval, I would like to see if you would be willing to authorize him to sell your impact fee credits at .81¢ on the dollar up for an amount not to exceed \$300,000 for calendar year 1998, which is exactly the same terms we had previously provided to him and to Mr. Hayes.

Mr. Shimp asked do both of these firms make their money by selling them at higher than the 81¢ on the dollar and keep the difference?

Mr. Ward responded yes.

Mr. Bloxham asked when did we initially offer them at 81¢.

Mr. Ward responded we did it for him back in '96. If I recall Mr. Hayes correctly, is was somewhere in late '94, or sometime in '95, not too long after we did the original transportation bond issue. Do you remember the date of the bond issue?

Ms. Carlson responded it was in '94.

Mr. Shimp asked what did we pay for it?

Mr. Ward responded we paid the full value for them, 100% of the amount. The way we get repaid for them it is 100% plus interest on the bond issues. So, we have a fee in place at some number greater than 100% which covers the average interest over the life of the bond issue. The bond issue life average was something like 11 1/2 years. Our interest component is substantially higher. What happens is if you can get these in quicker we have the ability under the bond indenture to take this money and put it in a principle redemption account and redeem bonds earlier. So the quicker you get those off the books, obviously you get a substantial reduction in your interest exposure. The credit that we are giving to them looks like it is not far off of what it would be if we could pay these at a faster pace than we would normally pay them at. We are giving them a discount, but the discount is sort of equal to what it would cost us anyway if we paying them off at this particular point in time.

Mr. Bloxham asked what kind of resources do they have outside of us that you are aware of Mr Ward to make this type of a purchase?

March 9, 1998

Mr. Ward responded my only knowledge of what is available in the market is essentially from either Mr. Hayes or Mr. Kinsey calling me and telling me there are excess credits in the market.

Mr. Bloxham asked is there availability in the market?

Mr. Ward responded availability of these credits in the market.

Mr. Bloxham asked are they establishing that the 81¢ price is still being used?

Mr. Ward responded they are establishing it, but it seems to be a market price based upon whoever has all of these credits out there. My understanding was that Lehigh had something like \$700,000 - \$800,000 worth of credits available to them which they purchased from Lee County. When you construct a development you have to pay this amount to them. They were discounting them at a number higher than 81¢. I think they were discounting them to 75¢. It is whatever the market will bear. I indicated that it is going to be hard for us to go below 81¢ because of our cost of carry of that money and the fact that we need to take it and pay off those bonds with it earlier than what was originally anticipated. I do not know what is out there currently. The last conversation I had with Mr. Hayes about a month ago, he indicated that the Lehigh credits have pretty much been utilized. He used the words "dried-up" and I assume that meant all purchased. Whoever has them there was very little of them out there. I have seen the market start to change and both Hayes and Kinsey are starting to go back into that market again at these rates.

Mr. Menzies asked if it is kind of a break even situation to us, what is the value for us to do this?

Mr. Ward responded I tend to think when you can get some of that debt off your books earlier and not incur that interest expense associated with it, and you have an opportunity to do that beyond the realm of what is walking in the front door and paying that, that is a good thing for the District to help reduce its' overall debt level. In this instance because these bonds were \$11,190,000 originally, the sooner we can get that paid off the better off the whole development will be for those transportation bonds. I think if you can pay it off and even if it is a break even that is a good thing to be able to get that debt off your books than was originally anticipated.

Mr. Shimp asked by giving them this, are we creating an exclusive?

Mr. Ward responded no. As a matter of fact, we have indicated to them just the opposite. We would not give them an exclusive. It is just an opportunity for

March 9, 1998

this broker or Mr. Kinsey or any other broker who wants to come in the door, an opportunity to sell these at this particular discount rate.

Mr. Shimp asked anybody could stand in line?

Mr. Ward responded anybody.

Mr. Shimp stated anybody could stand up and declare themselves and they do not have to be a real estate broker. They can just declare themselves to be a guy who wants to sell a few of these.

Mr. Ward stated for some reason the real estate market seems to focus in on these things but it is not limited to them.

Mr. Shimp asked a car dealer could sell these?

Mr. Ward responded sure, you could, I could, car dealer, whatever.

Mr. Menzies asked how many do we have?

Mr. Ward responded I do not remember what is left on the authorization. Something like \$8 to \$8.5 million is still outstanding.

Mr. Bloxham stated I think it is prudent at this stage and we have no obligation and we can change our mind. If supply and demand changes down the road, we can review what price we are selling them for.

Mr. Ward stated they do try to get you to go below these numbers but I just said no.

Mr. Shimp asked did we make any effort to advertise the fact that we got these things for sale?

Mr. Ward responded no. You could do that.

Mr. Bloxham asked why don't we authorize an ad in the paper to do some shopping out there and see if we can sell them ourselves.

Mr. Ward responded sure. I actually think these guys work hard to get these credits. From what I have seen of the paperwork flying back and forth between these brokers and the people they are selling them to, they tend to work a little bit. I think they are well compensated for their for their efforts, but I do see them doing some work for this issue.

Mr. Shimp asked when somebody comes in here and walks in off the street and had no contact with either one of these characters and says I want to buy some of your impact fee credits, how do we handle it?

Mr. Ward responded they contact me.

Mr. Shimp asked okay. What do we tell them?

Mr. Ward responded first of all it has never happened, but if it did, I am not sure I would immediately offer them the same deal with we have Kinsey, but I

March 9, 1998

would indicate to them that we have a certain amount and we would be willing to sell them at a discount to them and if they would like to make an offer, I would be willing to take a look at that offer. If we could come to an agreement on it then I would bring it back to you for an authorization at that point. Nobody comes in primarily because of your reasoning. We really do not advertise that.

Mr. Bloxham stated they are going around to all the majors. Somebody is making the effort.

Mr. Ward stated a lot of them are getting sold to commercial interests. Kinsey's last one was a Winn Dixie. This happens to be a Comfort Inn that he is selling it to. The big one everyone keeps talking about, which we happen to be one of the only people that have enough, is the airport ones that are going to be required at some point in the future. But, a lot of them are really commercial. Hayes focused in a couple of years ago on the single family ones that were going on in Lehigh Acres and he sold a lot of them to builders in Lehigh. Kinsey focuses most on the commercial side of the transactions. It is sort of an interesting way to sell them, I think. We have this \$10 million credit sitting down at the County and they only get used up to the extent that somebody walks in the door at Gateway. The quicker we can use those things up and get that cash in, actually the better off we are.

Mr. Shimp asked does it make sense to go on record with the airport that we have a number of impact fee and they are going to need these credits? Has anyone approached them on this?

Mr. Ward responded that is a good idea to do that. I have been waiting for the right time. It seems to have been going on for three years now. But, I think that is a good idea at some point to go on record with them.

Mr. Pires asked does the agreement provide that if we negotiate something outside them they do not get any compensation?

Mr. Ward responded there is no agreement. The only agreement is that if this guy brings us a deal at 81¢, we have the ability to either accept it or reject it at that point. They actually to call me before each transaction, other than the single family transactions, and asks me if it is okay to do this. I know Hayes knows about the airport deal. That is actually how I know about it. I told him, " you might have told me about the airport deal, however, I am not making any promises to you that this District is going to be in any position to do anything for you on the airport deal."

March 9, 1998

Mr. Shimp stated I know Hayes has some resentment that we have a second broker involved. His partner approached me and although he has not said he wanted to have an exclusive but he has said to us, "Gee, I wish the other guy was not out there."

Mr. Ward stated I had the same phone call.

Mr. Menzies asked is your suggestion is maximize Kinsey's to \$300,000?

Mr. Ward responded yes, \$300,000.

Mr. Menzies asked what about Hayes?

Mr. Ward responded Hayes is already has an authorization out there from a couple of years ago.

Mr. Menzies asked at the same point?

Mr. Ward responded no, I think it was originally \$1 million. It might have been \$1.5 million. He pretty much used all of it or most of his original authorization. Then you authorized a subsequent amount and I do not know what the second subsequent amount is down to at this point. We keep track, but I just do not remember. He has certainly been the one who has been substantially more aggressive than Kinsey. Kinsey will keep the amounts lower just by virtually what they have been doing out there and if we need to go more than that, then I will come back and tell you that. If he never uses it, he will never use it. Kinsey wants to tie up all of them. I knew that would give him an exclusive and we are not going to do that. I would not recommend that to you.

Mr. Shimp stated I would like to make a motion to advertise for someone to provide that service. An exclusive means making more money.

Mr. Bloxham stated if there is that big of a market. I think a lot more people would be aggressively pursuing it because of the size of the market.

Mr. Shimp stated maybe we ought to be glad we have two people out there now working on it.

Mr. Ward stated I have never seen it on the flip side. There does not seem to be a huge market for it. I really do think they go down to the County records and find out who has got these credits out there. Obviously, they figured out we did and figured out what Lehigh did last year. These are the two that seem to focus in on selling these credits and made a market place for it.

Mr. Bloxham asked what do we need Mr. Ward?

March 9, 1998

Mr. Ward responded just authorization to allow Kinsey and Associates to issue up to \$300,000 to sell the road impact fee credits for 81¢ on the dollar through the calendar year 1998.

On MOTION by Mr. Bloxham seconded by Mr. Shimp with all in favor an authorization to allow Kinsey and Associates was authorized to issue up to \$300,000 to sell the road impact fee credits for 81¢ on the dollar through the calendar year 1998.

Mr. Ward stated that is all I have.

Mr. Shimp asked how do other District in other areas handle this? This is unique to us.

Mr. Ward responded this is unique. I do not have any District that has road impact fee credits like this. I thought this was novel when I heard about it in '94 and it is still novel in '98.

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Supervisor's Requests and Audience Comments

Mr. Shimp asked do we have our accounting procedures in place in writing yet?

Mr. Ward responded no. Anything else from the Board or the audience?

Ms. Green asked do we have any official overture from the City yet on the proposal?

Mr. Ward responded I have not. The last I heard which was now three weeks ago, they were close to having a proposal to send to us. I have not heard anything in about three weeks.

Mr. Pires stated nor have I heard anything. They sent a letter saying something would come. That was about a month ago.

Mr. Ward stated yes, that was about a month ago. I did hear something subsequent to that just after that letter came out that it was shortly coming. I think some of the issue is quite frankly, you are in the time of year when audits are going on by both governments and trying to get updated financial information in January, February, March time schedule is really difficult. I think that is some of what they are doing and I know that is some of what we are doing. They did ask for some information from us that it took me a couple of weeks to get to them because of the fact we were in the middle of a our audit. I know we provided it to them so I assume they are just doing their thing at this point.

March 9, 1998

Mr. Pires stated I think they are waiting for numbers on their end.

Mr. Shimp asked do they understand that the ball is in their court to make an offer to us?

Mr. Ward responded yes.

Mr. Decker stated I saw Mr. Sundstrum last weekend and he did not say anything about this.

Mr. Ward stated they are aware of it.

Ms. Green stated but, you all need to be prepared to respond immediately when they come with an offer.

Mr. Shimp stated the world is going to change here shortly. That part of the world is changing very rapidly in terms of evaluation.

Mr. Ward stated it sure is.

Mr. Shimp stated values are going up.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Approval of Invoices, Requisitions and Financial Statements

Mr. Menzies asked what was that emergency repair that Severn Trent took care of? The \$11,000 item.

Mr. Decker responded a 12 inch refuse line in the entrance to the facility broke and it had been leaking for quite some time before anybody knew it. It finally came to the top of the ground and that is how we found out about it. We did not know whether it was a reuse line or another line. We had no idea until we got down there. When it was known, it wiped out part of the entrance. That was the expensive part.

Mr. Shimp asked were you able to figure out what caused it?

Mr. Decker responded yes, the water line was up against a big rock and it bore into it and it does happen. That is why during construction we always try to watch and make sure when they backfill they backfill with clean material, not rocks.

Mr. Shimp asked who did that work?

Mr. Decker responded that was back on day one. Ten years ago.

Mr. Shimp asked this was an original line?

Mr. Decker responded yes. I do not remember what contract it was.

Mr. Caldwell stated D-1 Lift Station.

Mr. Shimp asked are the refund of advances to WCI routine?

Mr. Ward responded yes, very routine.

March 9, 1998

Mr. Shimp stated this is a big dollar amount. That is the only reason why I am asking.

On MOTION by Mr. Menzies seconded by Mr. Shimp with all in favor the invoices dated February 2, 1998 in the amount of \$188,074.70; February 17, 1998 in the amount of \$613,116.72; and March 2, 1998 in the amount of \$30,719.35 were approved.

Mr. Shimp asked what is the IRS disbursement here in the amount of \$400 for Pamelyn Wright?

Mr. Ward asked what is the time on the right on the top of the docket dated?

Mr. Shimp responded 2/17/98. That is last page in my book.

Mr. Ward stated she was a former employee. It would have had to have been federal taxes.

Mr. Shimp asked why would that be a separate deposit? Is that for withholding?

Mr. Ward responded it could have been withholding.

Mr. Shimp asked why would that be a separate deposit, or was that just a normal deposit sent to the IRS as part of your payroll withholding?

Mr. Ward responded I do not know. The only reason I can think of there was a difference between the W2 and 941 report. We found it was an additional deposit that was to be credited to her. We would have sent that in directly for credit that way.

Mr. Bloxham stated there is a reason for that. Someone must have goofed it up in the computer system.

Mr. Ward stated I honestly do not know.

Mr. Bloxham asked Mr. Decker could you let us know what is going on.

Mr. Decker responded yes. I could not find it in my book but I can explain that. She is our pool attendant and she and her husband divorced. He went away out west somewhere and there was taxes owed. They could not find him and they got her.

Mr. Shimp asked so she had a tax lien set against her payroll.

Mr. Decker responded yes.

Mr. Bloxham asked was it a one time garnishment or is this a continuing thing?

March 9, 1998

Mr. Decker responded I think it went over two or three months. we spread it out to make it easy on her.

Mr. Ward asked did we do that or did the IRS do that? We spread it out over a couple of month.

Mr. Decker responded yes.

Mr. Bloxham asked this is just deducted from her wages.

Mr. Decker responded that is correct. She is still with us and doing a good job.

NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Adjournment

On MOTION by Mr. Bloxham seconded by Mr. Menzies
with all in favor the meeting was adjourned at 4:50 P.M.


Craig Bloxham
Secretary


Douglas Brown
Chairman

Agenda
Gateway Services District

Monday
March 9, 1998
4:00 P.M.

District Offices
13240 Commerce Lakes Dr.
Fort Myers, Florida

1. Roll Call
2. Approval of the Minutes of the February 9, 1998 Meeting
3. Award of Contract for Tree & Palm Trimming
4. Consideration of Acceptance of Conveyance of Cypress Links Phase 2 and Callaway Greens Phase 3
5. Consideration of Grant of Easement to FP&L for the Community Park
6. Staff Reports
 - A. Attorney
 - B. Engineer
 - C. Field Manager
 - D. Manager
7. Supervisor's Requests and Audience Comments
8. Approval of Invoices, Requisitions and Financial Statements
9. Adjournment